

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a  
Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOES 1-2, CONTROLLING A  
COMPUTER NETWORK AND THEREBY  
INJURING PLAINTIFF AND ITS  
CUSTOMERS,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 1:16-cv-00993 (GBL/TCB)

**FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO  
LOCAL RULE 5**

**MICROSOFT’S *EX PARTE* MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION ORDER**

Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”), by counsel, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53, 65(a) and (c), the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030), the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 U.S.C. § 2701), the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, & 1125), the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)), the common law, and the All Writs Act, (28 U.S.C. § 1651), respectfully moves the Court to supplement the Preliminary Injunction Order.

As discussed in Microsoft’s brief in support of this *Ex Parte* Motion To Supplement Preliminary Injunction Order and for the same reasons set forth in Microsoft’s Application for an *Ex Parte* Temporary Restraining Order and Order To Show Cause Re Preliminary Injunction (“TRO Application”), Microsoft requests an order allowing it to supplement the list of domains in the Order by replacing the August 26, 2016 Amended Appendix A with the Second Amended

Appendix A to the Proposed Order filed concurrently with this Motion.

As set forth more fully in Microsoft's brief, recent evidence shows that Defendants are again rebuilding Strontium's command and control infrastructure in defiance of the Court's authority, by bringing on line new domains, which Defendants are using in the same illegal manner and for the same illegal purposes described in the TRO Application. As was the case with the majority of the domains addressed in the Court's TRO, the new domains also abuse Microsoft's trademarks and brands in order to disguise their illegal purpose. Microsoft also respectfully submits that a streamlined procedure is advisable to efficiently and quickly supplement the list of domains subject to the Court's order as soon as Defendants activate the new domains. As set forth more fully in Microsoft's brief, Microsoft recommends that the Court appoint a Court Monitor, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53, to manage this process and relieve the burden on the Court.

The requested relief is necessary to halt the Strontium operation that is causing irreparable injury to Microsoft and its customers. Microsoft respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion.

Dated: November 14, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
LLP

/s/ Sten Jensen

---

STEN JENSEN  
Va. State Bar No. 38197  
Attorney for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON SUTCLIFFE LLP  
Columbia Center  
1152 15th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1706  
Telephone: (202) 339-8400  
Fax: (202)-339-8500  
sjensen@orrick.com

Of counsel:

GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorney for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
405 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669  
Telephone: (415) 773-5700  
Fax: (415) 773-5759  
gramsey@orrick.com

JEFFREY L. COX (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Attorney for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
701 Suite Seattle, WA 98104-7097  
Telephone: (206) 839-4300  
Fax: (206) 839-4301  
jcox@orrick.com

RICHARD DOMINGUES BOSCOVICH  
CRAIG LEE MOSES  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.  
MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052-6399  
Telephone: (425) 704-0867  
Fax: (425) 936-7329  
rbosco@microsoft.com  
crmoses@microsoft.com